# CP2K: HIGH PERFORMANCE ATOMISTIC SIMULATION

Iain Bethune ibethune@epcc.ed.ac.uk



### Outline

- CP2K Overview
- HECTOR dCSE Timeline
- Key Achievements of dCSE Projects
  - Improved MPI scaling & load balancing
  - Hybrid MPI/OpenMP
  - DBCSR
- Other Ongoing Work



"CP2K is a program to perform atomistic and molecular simulations of solid state, liquid, molecular, and biological systems. It provides a general framework for different methods such as e.g., density functional theory (DFT) using a mixed Gaussian and plane waves approach (GPW) and classical pair and many-body potentials."

From <u>www.cp2k.org</u> (2004!)







- Many force models:
  - Classical
  - DFT (GPW)
  - Hybrid Hartree-Fock
  - LS-DFT
  - post-HF (MP2, RPA)
  - Combinations (QM/MM, mixed)
- Simulation tools
  - MD (various ensembles)
  - Monte Carlo
  - Minimisation (GEO/CELL\_OPT)
  - Properties (Spectra, excitations ...)
- Open Source
  - GPL, <u>www.cp2k.org</u>
  - 1m loc, ~2 commits per day
  - ~10 core developers







- Many force models:
  - Classical
  - DFT (GPW)
  - Hybrid Hartree-Fock
  - LS-DFT
  - post-HF (MP2, RPA)
  - Combinations (QM/MM, mixed)
  - Simulation tools
  - MD (various ensembles)
  - Monte Carlo
  - Minimisation (GEO/CELL\_OPT)
  - Properties (Spectra, excitations ...)

Open Source

- GPL, <u>www.cp2k.org</u>
- 1m loc, ~2 commits per day
- ~10 core developers





#### • HECToR Phase 3 code usage (Nov 2011-Mar 2014)

Rank	Code	Node hours	Fraction of total	Method
1	VASP	5,822,878	19.34%	DFT
2	CP2K	2,222,059	7.38%	DFT
3	GROMACS	1,594,218	5.29%	Classical
4	DL_POLY	1,359,751	4.52%	Classical
5	CASTEP	1,351,163	4.49%	DFT

- CP2K usage £1.6m notional cost
  - (+ £2.4m on Phase 2)



- QUICKSTEP DFT: Gaussian and Plane Waves Method (VandeVondele *et al*, Comp. Phys. Comm., 2005)
  - Advantages of atom-centred basis (primary)
    - Density, KS matrices are sparse
  - Advantages of plane-wave basis (auxiliary)
    - Efficient computation of Hartree potential
  - Efficient mapping between basis sets
    - -> Computation of the KS Matrix is O(nlogn)
- Orbital Transformation Method (VandeVondele & Hutter, J. Chem. Phys., 2003)
  - Replacement for traditional diagonalisation to orthogonalise wave functions
  - Cubic scaling but ~10% cost



- (A,G) distributed matrices
- (B,F) realspace multigrids
- (C,E) realspace data on planewave multigrids
- (D) planewave grids
- (I,VI) integration/ collocation of gaussian products
- (II,V) realspace-toplanewave transfer
- (III,IV) FFTs
  (planewave transfer)





# HECToR dCSE Timeline



- 3 dCSE projects during the HECToR service:
- Improving the performance of CP2K on HECToR
  - Jul 08 Jul 09, 6 PMs
- Improving the scalability of CP2K on multi-core systems
  - Sep 09 Sep 10, 6 PMs
- CP2K Sparse Linear Algebra on 1000s of cores
  - Oct 10 Dec 11, 6 PMs
- Joint proposals with Dr. Ben Slater (UCL), Prof. Joost VandeVondele (U. Zurich, ETHZ)



- Optimised communication scheme for 'halo swap' in realspace-to-planewave transfer routine
  - Reduction in halo data volume
  - Non-blocking communication
  - -> 14% speedup on 256 cores (HECToR Phase 1)





#### 3D FFT can be expensive in many calculations

- 2D or 1D decomposition depending on process count
- CrayPAT analysis showed repeated mpi\_cart\_sub calls
- Introduced caching of re-used variables in FFT scratch space
- Also FFT plan (allowing FFTW\_MEASURE, FFTW\_PATIENT etc.)
- OpenMP added to parallelise on-node 1D FFT operation



125<sup>3</sup> FFT performance on HECToR Phase 2a

1x Dual-core CPU per node



#### Load balancing by re-ordering multi-grids



Figure 5: W216 load balance on 16 cores - perfect load balance achieved



CP2K performance, W216





- Hybrid MPI/OpenMP parallelisation
  - Loop-level OpenMP added to performance-critical regions
    - FFT (planewave grids)
    - Realspace grid <-> Sparse Matrix mapping
    - Realspace <-> planewave transfer
    - XC Functional Evaluation (PBE)
  - Efficient OpenMP implementation (GNU >= 4.5, Cray, Intel)
    - Allows micro-OpenMP regions
    - Minimal code restructuring
  - Result Better scalability on medium/large core counts



CP2K - W216 benchmark







- DBCSR Distributed Block Compressed Sparse Row
  - Developed in collaboration with Uni. Zurich



Figure 3: Example of Cannon's algorithm in DBCSR for 9 processes (Image courtesy Urban Borstnik)



- Communication
  - Double-buffering comm. and calc. buffers
  - Non-blocking MPI communication
  - Optionally underload thread 0 to account for MPI overhead
- Computation
  - Local multiplication via cache-oblivious recursive multiplication
  - Auto-tuned matrix multiplication kernels for small block DGEMMs
    e.g. 4x4, 4x7, 4x13, 7x13, 13x13 ...
  - Libsmm (idea now implemented in Cray libsci)





Figure 5: Comparing performance of SMM and Libsci BLAS for block sizes up to 22,22,22



- Research Outputs:
  - 3 HECToR dCSE reports
    - Including user guidelines for achieving good scalability
    - Centrally-installed code with all optimisations
  - dCSE Workshop report (2009)
  - CUG Papers (2010, 2014)
  - Posters at CAMD, CCP5 Summer Schools (2012), Exascale Applications and Software Conference (2013)
- User feedback:
  - "Using the optimized version of CP2K we were able to routinely model cells containing over 1000 atoms. The CSE investment into CP2K was crucial to making the study tractable.", Dr. Ben Slater



- PRACE Application Enabling Projects
  - ~3 person-years effort from PRACE 1-IP, 2-IP, 3-IP
  - Porting and testing on
    - IBM BlueGene/P
    - Intel Clusters
    - Intel Xeon Phi (native mode)
  - Further OpenMP:
    - 24 functionals fully parallelised
    - Threaded 3D FFT for MP2 calculations
    - QS neighbour list operations
  - First-touch memory optimisation for realspace grids
  - Auto-tuned grid and matrix-multiplication kernels





#### CP2K-UK: EPSRC Software for the Future

- £500,000, 2013-2018
- EPCC, UCL, KCL + 7 supporting groups



Pioneering research and skills

#### Aims

- Grow and develop existing CP2K community in UK
- Lower barriers to usage and development of CP2K
- Long-term sustainability of CP2K
- Extend ability of CP2K to tackle challenging systems
- First user meeting in Jan 2014
  - 55 attendees, good feedback!



Performance comparison of the H2O-64 benchmark 1000 ARCHER -ΜPI Time (seconds) MPI 100 MPI MPI 1.87 2TH MPI 2TH 4TH MPI 2TH 1.84 MPI MPI 6TH 1.84 6TH MPI MPI 1.69 2.03 2.73 2.03 2.56 10 10 100 1 Number of nodes used



1000 ARCHER -HECToR -4TH 2TH 6TH 2TH 4TH 6TH Time (seconds) 8TH 2.30 100 6TH 6TH 2.60 6TH 2.55 2.37 10 100 1000 10 10000 NIV Number of nodes used

Performance comparison of the LiH-HFX benchmark



# Some Final Thoughts

- CP2K has made huge strides in capability and performance
  - Key performance improvements under HECToR dCSE
  - dCSE projects were a key enabler for further funding
- Usability remains an issue
  - Although steadily improving (tutorials, training, support...)
- Long-term funding for user support now in place
  - Looking to spin-off new focused development projects under ARCHER eCSE
- Expect growing usage on ARCHER



#### Acknowledgement

This work made use of the facilities of HECToR, the UK's national high-performance computing service, which is provided by UoE HPCx Ltd at the University of Edinburgh, Cray Inc and NAG Ltd, and funded by the Office of Science and Technology through EPSRC's High End Computing Programme.

Thanks for your attention, and... ...any questions?

