DNS of Turbulent Flows

Ning Li, NAG Sylvain Laizet, Imperial College

HECToR dCSE technical meeting Manchester, 4-5 October 2011



Project Information

- Turbulence, Mixing and Flow Control Group at Imperial College
- PI Prof. Vassilicos
- A previous dCSE on code Incompact3D successfully completed in early 2011 (16month effort)
- Current project working on different but related CFD applications – Compact3D (6month effort)
- Software framework development



Scientific Background



Turbulence generated by fractal objects



Typical Simulations



- 2881*360*360 ~ 270 million mesh points
- On 8100 HECToR cores
- >200,000 time steps (~1.5 second in real life)
- 4 TB data (only 200 full 3D data sets + some probes)



Incompact3D vs. Compact3D

- Incompressible vs. compressible solver
- Numerical methods fundamentally different
 - Transport equation of density for compressible case
 - Pressure Poisson solver for incompressible case
- Different parallelisation strategy
- Sharing some algorithms, such as derivative calculations
 - Based on compact finite difference method



Key Numerical Algorithms

- 6-order compact finite difference $\alpha f_{i-1}^{i} + f_{i}^{i} + \alpha f_{i+1}^{i} = a \frac{f_{i+1} - f_{i-1}}{2\Delta x} + b \frac{f_{i+2} - f_{i-2}}{4\Delta x}$
- Spectral method for Poisson solver
 - Using Fast Fourier Transforms
- Both are spatially implicit schemes
- Transpose-based parallelisation required



2DECOMP&FFT

- Derived from the Incompact3D dCSE
- Framework to support the parallelisation of large-scale applications
 - that are based on Cartesian-topology mesh
 - that use spatially implicit numerical schemes
 - compact finite difference scheme; spectral method
- Now mature enough to be release as an open-source package (<u>http://www.2decomp.org</u>)
- Part of the Open Petascale Libraries



HECTOR dCSE & UKTC

- Completed
 - Incompact3D (Vassilicos, Imperial)
 - EBL (Coleman, Southampton)
 - DSTAR (Luo, Southampton)
- Ongoing
 - Compact3D (Vassilicos, Imperial)
- Approved and to start
 - SS3F/SWT (Coleman, Southampton)
- Other UKTC code benefiting from dCSE work
 - SoFTaR (Jiang, Lancaster)
 - DNS/LES code (Chung, Warwick)
- All based on 2DECOMP&FFT (Except EBL EPCC)



2DECOMP&FFT Features

- General-purpose 2D pencil decomposition (algorithm independent)
- Distributed Fast Fourier Transform
- Halo-cell communication
- Parallel I/O

Various optimisations



2D Pencil Decomposition



- Much better scalability than 1D decomposition
- 4 transposes to traverse 3 states
- Black-box implementation to hide most communication details



Distributed FFT

- Built on top of the 2D decomposition API.
- Support complex and real transforms
- Portable interface with popular FFT libraries
 - FFTW, ACML, MKL, ESSL, etc.
- User-friendly API
 - call decomp_2d_fft_3d(in, out, direction)
 - Utility functions to help set up data structures
- Scale to tens of thousand of cores.







FFT on HECToR Phase 2b

XE6 Performance - SeaStar vs. Gemini





2DECOMP&FFT vs. P3DFFT





Poisson Solver

Based on spectral method

- Pre-processing in physical space
- □ 3D forward FFT
- □ Pre-processing in spectral space
- Solve the Poisson's equation in spectral space (algebraic operations)
- Post-processing in spectral space
- □ 3D inverse FFT
- Post-processing in physical space
- Use standard FFT even for non-periodic B.C.
- Built on top of the FFT library
- Also requires global transpositions



Halo-cell Support

- One of the WPs in the current dCSE
- A second set of communication code
- Neighbouring pencils to talk to each other via MPI_SEND/RECV
- Allows explicit numerical schemes to be used in global transposition code
 - Stencil-based FD/FV schemes; particle tracking (interpolation)
- Periodic B.C. support



Parallel I/O

- Typical I/O requirements
 - Read/write full 3D data sets
 - Cut 2D planes
 - Save 3D data sets at reduced resolution
 - Helper functions for checkpointing/restart
- Implemented using MPI-IO
- Data storage in natural order and independent on number of processors
- For the future
 - Statistics computations
 - Simple 2D visualisation
 - Other parallel IO models (multiple writers etc.)



Optimisations

- Point-to-point (preferably non-blocking)
- System V shared-memory communication
- Scatter/gather to emulate SHM
- One-sided communication
- Padded ALLTOALL optimisation
- Overlap of communications and computations
 - Using OpenMP threads
 - Non-blocking ALLTOALL(V)
- Flexible data layout (any i,j,k order; stride-1 layout)
- Hybrid MPI/threaded
- Combinations of some of the above



Lucian Anton showed yesterday how this can be done using OpenMP thread

- Pure MPI implementation also possible
- Use non-blocking MPI collective operations
 - MPI_IALLTOALL etc. to appear in MPI-3
 - libNBC a prototype implementation
 - Implemented using existing MPI 1 functions (nonblocking send/recv)
 - Explicit MPI_TEST may be required

Support by some recent Infiniband and MVAPICH2

K. Kandalla et al., Computer Science - Research and Development, Vol. 26, 2011



OCC in 3D FFT To overlap communications of one FFT with computations of another FFT, assuming 2D decomp. 1D FFT in X for v_1 Transpose X to Y for v_1 (blocking) 1D FFT in Y for v_1 Start transpose Y to Z for v_1 (non-blocking) Do loop k = v_2 to v_N ID FFT in X for v_k Transpose X to Y for v_k (blocking) ID FFT in Y for v_k Start transpose Y to Z for v_k (non-blocking) Wait for communication v_(k-1) to complete 1D FFT in Z for v_(k-1) End do

Finish v_k

With 2DECOMP&FFT, up to 15% performance gain on HECToR



OCC in 3D FFT (continued)

- Implementation details
 - New API in 2DECOMP&FFT
 - Use pencil decomposition
 - In each sub-step, compute loops of 1D FFTs (instead of using FFTW's advanced interface), so that MPI_TEST can be inserted to progress the communication.
 - Wait for better hardware/MPI library support
- Other ways to achieve OCC
 - Partition data in pencils to even smaller chunks; compute and transpose one plane at a time



Flexible Data Layout

- Use standard 3D arrays by default
- Flexible data layout
 - Swap dimension of 3D array
 - Work on leading dimension for cache efficiency
 - Legacy applications may have swapped dimension for historical reasons (vector length etc.)
 - External library might impose constraint
 - Linear storage (1D buffers)
 - Additional cost for swapping, but most can be absorbed by the algorithm packing/unpacking MPI buffers



Incompact3D Details

- Was based on 1D slab decomposition
- Typical mesh: 2881*360*360
 - No more than 360 cores
 - Weeks to complete a simulation
- Rewritten using 2DECOMP&FFT
 - Regular production runs using 8000-16000 HECToR cores
 - Days to complete a simulation
- Expect similar benefits for Compact3D



Incompact3D Details

- Compact Finite Difference scheme
 - Need to solve tri-diagonal system for spatial derivatives and interpolations
 - Use global transposition code extensively
 - Same applies to Compact3D
- Spectral Poisson Solver
 - Use 3D FFT
 - Also use global transposition to map internal data
- 66 calls to global transpositions per time step
- Halo-cell support for proposed particle tracking
- Tailor-made routines to take running average of lowresolution statistics (a second decomposition)
- Use 2DECOMP&FFT for I/O as well



Incompact3D on HECToR





Warwick DNS/LES code



- Parallelised by Edward Hurst using 2DECOMP&FFT
- Scaling well to 1024 cores on HECToR



Concluding Remarks

- Building scalable applications become increasingly challenging on modern supercomputers.
- Application developers need right tools/libraries.
- 2DECOMP&FFT is doing well, in CFD area in particular.