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Abstract

The intrinsic time-scale of correlated electron motion in matter is the attosecond time-scale (1 attosecond = 10^{-18}s) and just as femtosecond (1 femtosecond = 10^{-15}s) laser technology offers us the means of accessing real-time nuclear dynamics, attosecond light pulse technology now promises us the revolutionary ability to measure and control the correlated motion of electrons in atomic systems on their own temporal scale. Recent ground-breaking ultrafast measurements demonstrate that a bottleneck in our understanding of correlated electron dynamics in atoms and molecules is the current state of theory. Correlated electron motion by its very definition is a quantum many-body process and so theoretical methods must go beyond the widely used single-particle picture so far employed to good effect to describe ultrafast single electron processes. Currently, the most sophisticated method that goes beyond the single particle model is that underlying the HELIUM code. Inspired by the success of HELIUM at describing the correlated motion of electrons within two-electron systems, the purpose of this dCSE project is to extend the capability of HELIUM to allow it to accurately describe the correlated electron dynamics occurring within complex atoms exposed to intense short-pulse light. This report describes the underlying numerical methods and algorithms implemented to extend HELIUM into a powerful new Fortran 90/MPI code called RMT (R-Matrix incorporating Time) which is unique in its capability to describe the many-electron response of a general atom to intense short-pulse laser light. Illustrative results for single ionization of neon exposed to a combination of sub-femtosecond XUV and 800 nm Ti:sapphire laser light fields, along with a discussion of the performance of the new RMT code on HECToR, are included.
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1 Introduction

Over the past 10 years there has developed a crucial need to solve directly and accurately the Time-Dependent Schrödinger Equation (TDSE) describing the detailed response of many-electron atoms and molecules to short, intense pulses of light. Such calculations are vital to get the best out of Attosecond Science [1, 2] (1 atto-second (atto-s) is $10^{-18}$ seconds), the revolutionary goal of which is to track and control the correlated motion of electrons within matter on their own temporal scale. The advent of Attosecond Science is bringing about a revolution in both laser and electron collision physics. Experiments on the Attosecond Time-Scale (ATS) are in progress in leading laboratories in the UK [3, 4]; in wider Europe [5, 6, 7]; in North America [8, 9, 10, 11]; in China [12] and in Japan [13, 14]. It is expected that near-future advances in Attosecond Light Pulse (ALP) technology [2] will have profound implications for fields as diverse as bioenergetics [15], molecular electronics [16] and even the treatment of cancer [17].

Many of the technological breakthroughs in Attosecond Science over the last decade have come about as a result of advances in theory [18] which now allow for a sound qualitative understanding of the Single Active Electron (SAE) response of an atom or molecule to intense femtosecond (1 femto-second (fs) is $10^{-15}$ seconds) laser pulses at short and long wavelengths. Indeed, in the long wavelength regime, advances in Ti:sapphire laser technology [19] combined with an improved understanding of SAE phenomena such as High Harmonic Generation (HHG) [20] have resulted in the recent generation of extreme ultraviolet (XUV) light pulses that last a mere $\sim 100$ attoseconds in duration [21].

While SAE-based theories have been employed to good effect over the last decade, ALP technology is now demonstrating the revolutionary potential to induce and track ultra-fast many-electron dynamics within atoms and molecules [5, 7]. There is therefore huge importance in the development of tractable methods that are able to treat the correlated motion of electrons in complex atoms and molecules exposed to intense (ultra)short light pulses. Currently, the most sophisticated code for describing correlated electron dynamics within an atomic system is the HELIUM code [24, 25]. HELIUM is a pioneering code in the area of Attosecond Science. Not only has it made possible important scientific discovery (afterwards borne out by laboratory experiment) [25] but it has, in doing so, explored the feasibility of propagating accurate solutions of a multi-dimensional TDSE. The code is specifically constructed to describe both single- and double-electron ionization of a two-electron atom or ion exposed to intense laser light. The code’s continuing success undoubtedly lies in the fact that, from the start, it was designed around MPP architectures [24] (recognized as being absolutely essential to progress in this area of research). The method kernel to the code is a high-order Arnoldi time-propagator [22, 23] combined with Finite Difference (FD) grid methods. By choosing an appropriate distribution over processors HELIUM involves only nearest neighbour communications and
This has enabled it to scale highly effectively to more than 16,000 cores on HECToR.

It is this established efficiency, along with the experimental interest in strongly time-dependent atomic and molecular systems, that has inspired the designers of HELIUM to exploit its underlying numerical methods to treat complex many-electron atoms exposed to intense (ultra)short light pulses. In particular, the underlying FD technology of the HELIUM code appears to be well suited for the accurate and efficient description of one or two electrons ejected from a many-electron atom or molecule during the single or double ionization process. With this capability in mind, the designers of the HELIUM code have recently demonstrated the potential to carry over the FD technology of HELIUM into an accurate description of a general many-electron atom exposed to intense light pulses. This carry over has been shown to be possible by invoking the powerful division-of-space concept [26], central to the highly successful \( R \)-matrix theory of atomic and molecular processes [27]. The division-of-space concept, whereby the position space occupied by the atomic electrons is divided into two separate regions, not only makes possible the integration of FD technology into the description of the ultrafast ionization of many-electron atoms, but also allows an efficient way of limiting the many-electron representation of an atom to the only spatial region where it is absolutely necessary, i.e., a small region close to the nucleus. In this finite region it has been well-established that the \( R \)-matrix basis set functions offer an accurate and tractable means of representing the many-electron wave function [27]. Indeed, the combination of the \( R \)-matrix basis set with FD grid techniques brought together with the powerful Arnoldi propagator of the HELIUM code provides the potential to efficiently and accurately describe the full response of a many-electron atomic system to intense (ultra)short pulse light which has so far proven beyond the capability of all existing theoretical methods.

With this goal in mind, in early 2009 a five-year EPSRC Software Development Grant (the UK-RAMP Project) was awarded to four collaborating institutions: Queen’s University Belfast (QUB), University College London (UCL), the Open University and STFC CSE, to build upon expertise in all the various areas of \textit{ab initio} theoretical electron-atom and molecule scattering and both time-independent and time-dependent laser-atom (molecule) interactions. A major strand of the UK-RAMP Project is the carry-over of HELIUM code technology to enable the accurate description of the full response of atoms and molecules to intense (ultra)short laser pulses. The first major and vital algorithmic advance required in the pursuit of this goal is the construction of an \textit{ab initio} code that combines HELIUM code and \( R \)-matrix technology to describe the single-electron ionization response of a general many-electron atom exposed to intense (ultra)short light pulses.
1.1 The purpose of the HELIUM dCSE project (WP5)

The purpose of this dCSE project is to construct a unique application code that will allow for the accurate and efficient description of the single ionization response of a general many-electron atom exposed to an intense (ultra)short laser light pulse. This project therefore forms the first vital advance in the pursuit of codes that are capable of describing the multiple ionization of atoms as well as the single ionization of molecules exposed to intense light pulses as set out in the UK-RAMP Project. As a means of making this advance, the project significantly extends on the recent work carried out on interfacing FD methods with basis set methods for the description of the one-electron hydrogen atom [26]. In extending the method into one that can accurately and efficiently describe a general many-electron atom exposed to strong laser fields, three main tasks needed to be accomplished (over a 24 month period) which all required, as a starting point, significant serial code construction:

1. Firstly, the new code needs to be able to describe the many-electron structure of the complex atom in the finite region close to the nucleus of the atom (often referred to as the “inner-region” in the R-matrix literature). To this end, the project has made use of a well-established and highly accurate R-matrix suite of serial codes known as RMATRX2 [28]. The RMATRX2 codes form part of PRMAT [29] which is one of the application packages required to be provided on HECToR [30]. Using basis set techniques, the RMATRX2 codes provide eigenenergies and eigenvectors for a general many-electron atom within the inner-region for a range of angular momenta, along with reduced dipole matrix elements between all the eigenstates. This time-independent structure data can therefore be used as ‘starter’ data input for the new time dependent many-electron code. As an implementation strategy, a stand-alone code (referred to as TD-RA throughout the project) has been constructed which can provide an accurate description of the time-dependent response of a general many-electron atom to an external laser field within the finite inner-region. Nine months were dedicated to this task.

2. Secondly, the new code needs to be able to describe the motion of the ejected (ionized) electron in the much larger “outer-region” beyond the finite inner-region close to the nucleus. This electron moves in the presence of the external laser field and the residual many-electron ion. As already mentioned, FD grid techniques appear to be the most suitable numerical method for representing the wave function in this region. To this end, the project has built on top of a well-established and accurate single-electron version of the time-dependent HELIUM code, known as HYDRO, to create a new stand-alone code (referred to throughout as TD-OUTER). In order to describe the electron moving in the presence of the residual ion, HYDRO needed to be significantly adapted so as to include long-range multipole potential terms. These terms also form part of the starter data calculated by the RMATRX2 codes. The effect of the external laser field is not only felt by the ejected electron, but is also felt by the residual ion and so extra
laser potential terms also needed to be added to the HYDRO code to create a complete version of TD-OUTER. Six months were dedicated to this task.

3. Finally, the two codes needed to be integrated into a single code, now known as the RMT (R-matrix Incorporating Time) code. In constructing the full version of the RMT code, the project has focused heavily on implementing Arnoldi time-propagator techniques to enable the code to harness the power of MPP architectures. This work has required significant extensions to the algorithms used to time-propagate the wave function in the prototype single-electron method [26] where in that method a Taylor-series time-propagator was used to propagate the wave function in the inner- and outer-regions. Central to the integration of the TD-RA and TD-OUTER codes has been the implementation of an Arnoldi-based propagator that allows for wave function flux to pass between the R-matrix inner- and outer-regions. As part of this final task, the code was also parallelized using the Message Passing Interface, so that it could be ported to the HECToR Cray XT6 machine. By running the new RMT code on HECToR, the code was able to calculate the response of a neon atom to the combination of 800 nm and attosecond XUV light pulses, where multiple states of the residual ion were included in the description of the laser-atom interaction. Nine months were dedicated to this task.

All of the tasks were completed within the specified time-frames.

1.2 Major outcomes of the project

This dCSE project has resulted in the construction of a unique application code, now known as the RMT code. The RMT code is a general-purpose ab initio computer code for accurately describing the many-electron response leading to single ionization of many-electron atoms and atomic ions exposed to intense laser light pulses. The ab initio nature of the code allows for not only the description and understanding of future experimental measurements, but will also enable the prediction of physical phenomena occurring in intense laser-atom interactions and consequently allow for scientific discovery that will point the way for future experimental investigations at laser labs and large scale facilities over the next decade, at least.

One of the unique features of the RMT code is that it has been designed specifically to be applicable to atoms exposed to wavelengths ranging right across the light spectrum, from the extreme ultraviolet (XUV) photon frequencies being produced at 4th Generation light sources such as FLASH, the future European XFEL facility and the LCLS in the USA, to the infrared (IR) frequencies being produced at Ti:sapphire-based laser labs throughout the UK (e.g., Artemis at the STFC’s Central Laser Facility) and wider Europe (e.g., Laserlab-Europe). Not only does the RMT code have flexibility over
wavelength, but because of its genuinely time-dependent nature it also has the flexibility to describe the response of atomic systems to both short- and long-duration light pulses. Moreover, because of the explicit representation of the light field, the code will also be able to support the wide-range of experiments investigating the effects of temporal pulse-shaping on the response of atoms to laser light, an important step towards future control mechanisms in fields such as photochemistry and molecular electronics.

The ability of the RMT code to describe the dynamics of general many-electron atoms on the attosecond time scale will also be of immense benefit to all UK research groups developing and applying Attosecond Technology (STFC’s CLF, Imperial College London). The near-future promise of high-intensity attosecond light pulses holds out the prospect for the real-time observation and control of charge transfer in molecular systems which is expected to have a strong impact on the future of molecular electronics [15] and possibly cancer research [17]. While the RMT code described here will be capable of providing deep insight into the dynamics of electrons in many-electron atoms exposed to ultrashort pulses, the exciting and genuine potential to adapt the method to describe many-electron dynamics within molecular systems will rely heavily on the advances that were made during this project.
2 Extending HELIUM to treat many-electron atoms: the RMT method

As a necessity, the starting point for describing the ultra-fast dynamics of electrons in an atom exposed to an intense (ultra)short laser light pulse is the Time-dependent Schrödinger Equation (TDSE).

2.1 The TDSE for a many-electron atom exposed to a light field

Neglecting relativistic effects, the behaviour of the N+1 electron atomic system in the presence of the laser field is governed by the TDSE

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(X_{N+1}, t) = H_{N+1}(t) \Psi(X_{N+1}, t),$$

where the Hamiltonian is

$$H_{N+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 - \frac{Z}{r_i} + \sum_{i \neq j=1}^{N+1} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \right) + E(t) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} r_i.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

We have taken the origin of the coordinates to be in the nucleus, which we assume has infinite mass. We have \( r_{ij} = |r_i - r_j| \) where \( r_i \) and \( r_j \) are the vector coordinates of the \( i^{th} \) and \( j^{th} \) electrons and we have written \( X_{N+1} \equiv x_1, x_2, ..., x_{N+1} \) where \( x_i \equiv r_i \sigma_i \). \( Z \) indicates the nuclear charge and \( E(t) \) is the time-dependent light field.

2.2 The R-matrix division-of-space concept

![Figure 1: The R-matrix division-of-space concept. In region I an eigenstate expansion representation of the wavefunction is chosen, while in region II a grid-based representation is considered. The boundary of region I is at \( r = b \) and the outer boundary of region II is at \( r = R \). The radial variable of the \( (N+1) \)-th electron is denoted as \( r \).](image-url)


In the R-matrix method [27] the position space occupied by the electrons is divided into two regions: An inner region (region I) surrounding the nucleus where a many-electron wave function is constructed and many-electron atom-laser Hamiltonian matrix elements are calculated explicitly, and an outer region (region II), chosen such that only one electron (or at most two) is present and the electron there, besides experiencing the laser field directly, is aware of the remainder of the atomic system only via long-range multi-pole interactions. Traditionally, R-matrix theory is a theory where time is not explicitly involved in the study of the collision or photoionisation processes.

Figure 1 displays the division of many-electron position space which underlies R-matrix theory. In region I the time-dependent wavefunction is expanded over the R-matrix eigenstates of the field-free Hamiltonian. Region I is defined by all \( N + 1 \) electrons of the system having a radial co-ordinate \( r_q \leq b, q = 1, \ldots, N + 1 \). Region II is defined by \( N \) electrons having a radial coordinate \( r_q \leq b, q = 1, \ldots, N \) and one electron having its radial coordinate \( r_{N+1} > b \). In region II the time-dependent wavefunction is represented over a finite-difference grid by its values at equidistant grid points \( r_{N+1}(i) = i h, i = i_b, i_b + 1, \ldots, i_R \).

2.3 The mathematics underlying TD-OUTER

Much of the power of the division-of-space concept is derived from limiting the many-electron representation of the atom to only the spatial region where it is absolutely necessary, i.e., region I, close to the nucleus of the atom. This means that the number of electrons in region II is limited to just one and hence the TDSE in region II is, for each state of the residual-ion, reduced in dimensionality to at most three, thus simplifying the computational problem considerably.

In region II, the time-dependent \( N+1 \) electron wave function can therefore be expanded as \( \Psi(X_{N+1}, t) = \sum_{P} \Phi_p(X_N) \frac{1}{2} F_p(r, t) \), where the \( F_p \) functions are single-electron functions describing the radial motion of the ejected electron (in the \( p^{th} \)-channel), and where the radial variable of the \( (N + 1) \)-th electron is denoted as \( r_{N+1} = r \). The \( \Phi_p \) are channel functions formed by coupling the target states of the residual ionic system \( \Phi_p(X_N) \) with angular and spin parts of the ejected electron wave function. Note that the time dependence of the wavefunction is contained within the radial functions \( F_p(r, t) \).

By projecting the known target functions \( \Phi_p \) onto the TDSE and integrating over all spatial variables except the radial coordinate of the ejected electron, the following set of coupled homogeneous partial differential equations (PDEs) for the radial channel functions \( F_p(r, t) \) is obtained:

\[
\frac{i}{\partial t} F_p(r, t) = H_{t_p}(r) F_p(r, t) + \sum_{p'} \left[ W_{E_{pp'}}(r) + W_{D_{pp'}}(t) + W_{P_{pp'}}(r, t) \right] F_{p'}(r, t)
\]  

(3)
Eq. (3) is the evolution equation for the wave function in region II. In eq. (3), $W_E$ is referred to as the long range potential in the R-matrix literature and arises from the electron-electron and electron-nuclear potential terms in the Hamiltonian. $W_D$ arises from the interaction of the light field with the residual N-electron ion. The $W_P$ potential arises from the interaction of the light field with the ejected electron. $H_{ii}$ is the time-independent part of the Hamiltonian in region II.

### 2.4 Software description: The TD-OUTER code

This section describes a stand-alone code, TD-OUTER, that was constructed to solve eq. (3).

**Software requirements:**

1. The TD-OUTER program is required to accurately and efficiently time-propagate the $F_p$ of eq. (3) from values $F_p(r, t)$ to values $F_p(r, t + \delta t)$ over the spatial domain $b \leq r \leq R$. Eq. (3) needs be solved to high accuracy, typically to 12 significant figures at each step of integration.

2. TD-OUTER is required to interface with a separate program called TD-RA which time-propagates the many-electron wave-function in region I using basis set technology.

3. Finally, TD-OUTER needs to scale on MPP architectures.

**Design strategy:**

The TD-OUTER code was constructed on top of a well-tested one-electron version of the HELIUM code known as HYDRO. The HYDRO program uses the highly accurate and efficient Arnoldi propagator and FD techniques of HELIUM to propagate a single electron wave function forward in time. HYDRO has been written in Fortran 90 and has been parallelized using a similar strategy to that implemented in HELIUM using the Message Passing Interface (MPI). Since TD-OUTER describes the ejected electron in the presence of a many-electron atom it requires many-electron atomic structure data to construct the $W_E, W_D$ and $W_P$ potentials appearing eq. (3). This data is calculated using the RMATRX2 suite of programs [28] which writes the atomic data to two files, $\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{d}$. All modifications to the HYDRO program at this stage of implementation were made using the single core version of the HYDRO program.

**Definition of tasks:**

In order to construct the TD-OUTER program two modifications to HYDRO were required:

1. **Redefining the FD grid**
HYDRO was designed to solve the single-electron TDSE using pure FD techniques and therefore it operates over the spatial domain \(0 \leq r \leq R\). The TD-OUTER program is required to solve an effective one-electron TDSE and is also to be interfaced with the basis set techniques (used over the domain \(0 \leq r \leq b\)) which underly the TD-RA program. Therefore, a new FD grid needed to be laid so that TD-OUTER operates over the domain, \(b \leq r \leq R\), i.e., from the \(R\)-matrix boundary outwards.

**B. Lifting of single residual ion state restriction**

HYDRO was designed to solve the TDSE for the hydrogen atom and is therefore limited to describing a single state of a residual ion (which is the case for hydrogen where only a bare structureless proton is left behind by the ionizing electron). TD-OUTER is designed to solve an effective one-electron TDSE, where due to the many-electron nature of region I, the ejected electron is coupled to multiple residual ion states via long-range multipole potentials \(W_L(r)\) in eq.(3)). The ejected electron can couple to the residual ion states in multiple ways depending on the angular momentum of the ejected electron and the symmetry of the residual ion state, giving rise to the multiple channel functions \(F_p(r, t)\) of eq.(3). Moreover, these channels are coupled to each other via the time-dependent \(W_D(t)\) and \(W_P(r, t)\) potentials. All three of the potential terms in the eq.(3) needed to be coded into TD-OUTER.

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2:** The grid points in region II are denoted by \(i\), where \(i_b\) is the grid point on the boundary with region I and \(i_R\) is the grid point on the outer region boundary. The spacing between grid points is denoted by \(h\).

**Software implementation phase:**

**A. Redefining the FD grid**

The FD grid was defined as a 1-dimensional (1D) grid representing the radial variable \(r\) of the ejected electron. The grid was laid out, as shown in figure 2, so that grid points lie at the two boundaries, \(r = b\) and \(r = R\). Since TD-OUTER is required to compute the radial wave function flux pertaining to multiple states of the residual ion, the \(F\) vector as defined in HYDRO had its length increased to account for the extra channels formed by the multiple residual ion states.
The $H_\ell$ operator in eq.(3) contains the second derivate operator, $\frac{d^2}{dr^2}$ which is recast in a 5-point FD representation. However, the 5-point FD rule when applied to the $F_p$ functions at the first grid point, $i=i_b$, requires information on the value of the $F_p$ functions at points $i=i_b-1$ and $i=i_b-2$ which lie inside region I, i.e., outside the domain, $b \leq r \leq R$. This can be appreciated if one considers the 5-point rule for calculating $\frac{d^2}{dr^2}F_p$ at the left boundary $r=b$:

$$\frac{d^2}{dr^2}F_p(r) \Bigg|_{r=b} = a_1 F_p(b-2h) + a_2 F_p(b-h) + a_3 F_p(b) + a_4 F_p(b+h) + a_5 F_p(b+2h),$$

(4)

where $a_i$ are the usual FD parameters for the second derivative. Therefore, to enable the FD Hamiltonian to be applied to the $F_p$ functions in region II, the value of the $F_p$ functions at grid points $i=i_b-1$ and $i=i_b-2$ must be first calculated. This requirement poses no problem, so long as the region I wave function has a single electron character at $r=b-h$ and $r=b-2h$. In practice this means using a region I radius, $b$, that is slightly larger than the radius one would typically choose in a time-independent $R$-matrix electron scattering calculation.

**Test phase:**

To test TD-OUTER, region I was made negligible in size by setting $b=h$. The points $i=i_b-1$ and $i=i_b-2$ then correspond to radial distances $r=0$ and $r=-h$ respectively. This FD grid is the same as that used in HYDRO and therefore similar boundary conditions as are used in HYDRO could be used in TD-OUTER to determine values of $F_p$ at $i=i_b-1$ and $i=i_b-2$. The output from TD-OUTER was compared with that from HYDRO and was shown to match exactly, thus verifying the accuracy of the TD-OUTER code at this stage of construction.

**B. Lifting the single residual ion state restriction**

Each residual ion state gives rise to a collection of channel functions, $F_p$, in region II which are coupled via the $W_E$, $W_D$ and $W_P$ potentials.

$W_E(r)$: The space-dependent $W_E(r)$ potential is constructed using the long-range multipole coefficients calculated using the RMATRX2 suite of programs [28]. These coefficients are read into TD-OUTER from the $/x48$ file written by RMATRX2.

$W_D(t)$: The time-dependent $W_D(t)$ potential is constructed using the dipole transition elements between the eigenstates of the residual ion which are calculated using the RMATRX2 programs. These matrix elements are read into TD-OUTER from the $/d$ file written by RMATRX2.

$W_P(r,t)$: The time- and space-dependent $W_P(r,t)$ potential is coded using angular algebra. It takes into account the symmetries of the residual ion states and of the ejected electron, read from the $/f$ file written by RMATRX2.
Test phase:

Testing at this stage was not feasible as it relies on the interfacing of TD-OUTER with TD-RA.

2.5 The mathematics underlying TD-RA

In region I, the time-dependent \( N+1 \) electron wave function \( \Psi(X_{N+1}, t) \) is represented over an R-matrix eigenbasis \( \phi_k(X_{N+1}) \) as \( \Psi(X_{N+1}, t) = \sum_k C_k(t) \phi_k(X_{N+1}) \) where \( r_{N+1} \leq b \) and where \( C_k(t) \) are time-dependent coefficients. The TDSE in the inner region is given by eq.(1). However, in region I, the Hamiltonian \( H_{N+1} \) is not Hermitian owing to the presence of the kinetic energy \( -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 \) term in eq.(2) and the finite value of the wave function on the inner-region boundary. Consequently a Bloch operator \( L_{N+1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \delta(r_i - b) \frac{d}{dr_i} \) is introduced which is such that \( H_{N+1} + L_{N+1} \) is Hermitian in region I. The TDSE in region I may then be written as

\[
i\frac{d}{dt} \Psi_I(X_{N+1}, t) = H_I(t) \Psi_I(X_{N+1}, t) - L_{N+1} \Psi(X_{N+1}, t),
\]

where \( \Psi_I(X_{N+1}, t) \) is the wave function defined over region I in figure 1. By projecting eq. (5) onto the eigenstates \( \phi_k(X_{N+1}) \) we obtain the evolution equations for the time-dependent coefficients \( C_k(t) \):

\[
\frac{d}{dt} C_k(t) = -i \sum_{k'} H_{kk'}(t) C_{k'}(t) + i S_k(t)
\]

where

\[
S_k(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p} \omega_{pk} \left. \frac{\partial F_p(r, t)}{\partial r} \right|_{r=b},
\]

and where the \( \omega_{pk} \) are surface amplitudes written to the \( \text{file} \) by RMATRX2. The solution of eq.(6) can be written in terms of so-called \( \phi \) functions [31] (and in matrix notation) as

\[
C(t + \delta t) = e^{-i\delta t H_I} C(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j \phi_j(-i\delta t H_I) U_j(t),
\]

where

\[
U_0(t) = C(t), \quad U_j(t) = i^{j-1} \frac{d}{dt} S(t).
\]

The \( \phi_j(-i\delta t H_I) \) functions are related to the exponentiation of the Hamiltonian matrix, \( H_I \), and are due to the time-dependent inhomogeneity in eq.(6). For a scalar argument \( z \) the \( \phi_j(z) \) functions are defined by the integral representation
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\[ \phi_j(z) = \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \int_0^1 e^{(1-\theta)z} \theta^{j-1} d\theta, \quad j \geq 1. \] (10)

At this point it should be emphasized that eq.(6) is fundamental to the RMT method in two ways. Firstly, the inhomogeneous \( S_k \) term on the right-hand-side compensates for the Bloch term introduced to make \( H_I \) Hermitian. Note that it makes a contribution only at \( r = b \) and brings into play there \( \Psi(X_{N+1},t) \), a wave function form which has been defined throughout both regions. This term is central to any time propagation scheme in region I because it connects the wave function form \( \Psi_I(X_{N+1},t) \), which is many-electron in nature, with a wave function form that, at \( r = b \), represents a single electron and which, numerically, is obtained from region II. Secondly, eq.(6) allows for two different numerical methods to be brought together, one (basis set) most appropriate to the many-electron finite region I and the other (finite difference), most appropriate to the one-electron region II. In this way eq.(6) is fundamental to future plans to adapt the method to many-electron molecules.

2.6 Software description: The TD-RA program

Software requirements:

[1] The TD-RA program is required to accurately and efficiently time-propagate the \( C_k(t) \) coefficients of eq.(6) from values \( C_k(t) \) to values \( C_k(t + \delta t) \) over the spatial domain \( 0 \leq r \leq b \). Eq.(6) needs be solved to high accuracy, typically to 12 significant figures at each step of integration.

[2] TD-RA is required to interface with a separate program called TD-OUTER which time-propagates the single ejected electron wave-function in region II using FD technology.

[3] Finally, TD-RA needs to scale on MPP architectures.

Design strategy:

Eq.(6) takes the form of an inhomogeneous TDSE which arises in many areas of quantum dynamics. However, it is only recently that attempts have been made at using high-order explicit methods to solve equations of the type given by eq.(6). One such high-order propagator can be devised by approximating the formal solution explicitly by a Taylor expansion [32]. This is the method used for the single-electron implementation of the current method [26]. The approach taken in TD-RA is to compute the values of the \( C_k(t + \delta t) \) coefficients in eq.(8) using an adapted “inhomogeneous” Arnoldi method that in many ways resembles the standard Arnoldi method used for solving the homogeneous TDSE. The full implementation of the adapted Arnoldi algorithm will be described later in section 2.7. In this section a method is first implemented which focuses on propagating
the $C_k$ coefficients without the driving inhomogeneous terms that will be calculated in region II. In this way, the level of accuracy of the standard homogeneous Arnoldi method combined with the R-matrix basis set technology is first established.

**Definition of tasks:**

The construction of the TD-RA program requires the implementation of a single task:

**A. Implementation of a homogeneous Arnoldi algorithm**

Unlike the TD-OUTER code, the TD-RA program needed to be written from scratch. The first stage in developing TD-RA was to construct the many-electron time-dependent Hamiltonian whose matrix elements are calculated by the RMATRX2 codes. The second stage was to code the standard Arnoldi method to time-propagate the many-electron wave function. Once constructed, the time-dependent Hamiltonian is used to time-propagate the many-electron wave function using the standard Arnoldi method.

**Implementation phase:**

**A. Implementation of a homogeneous Arnoldi algorithm**

The ‘starter’ data written to file by RMATRX2 codes is needed to construct the Hamiltonian, $H_I$. The matrix, $H_I$, has a block-tridiagonal structure, with the diagonal blocks containing the field-free Hamiltonian for each symmetry of the many-electron atom (where a symmetry is defined in terms of the total angular momentum, $L$, the total spin, $S$, and the total parity, $\pi$, of the $N+1$ electron states). The eigenenergies, $E_{kk'}$, of the atom making up these diagonal blocks are read from the $ii$ file written by RMATRX2. The off-diagonal blocks contain the dipole couplings between the different angular momenta. The dipole matrix elements, $D_{kk'}$, making up these off-diagonal blocks are read from the $dd$ file, also written by the RMATRX2 programs.

As a starting point to solving eq.(8) via an Arnoldi-based method, the second term on the right-hand-side of eq. (8) was set to zero to neglect the inhomogeneous terms and to effectively constrain the laser-atom problem purely to region I. This poses no problem so long as region I is set large enough to fully contain the wave function throughout the propagation. This allowed the coding of the Arnoldi algorithm propagating the $C_k$ from $t$ to $t+\delta t$ to be extensively tested in the absence of region II.

An Arnoldi/Lanczos algorithm was coded to propagate the $C_k$ coefficients forward in time using the Hamiltonian matrix, $H_I$. Because the dimensions of the tridiagonal blocks making up $H_I$ are well defined by the output of the RMATRX2 programs, the kernel matrix-vector multiply routine of the Arnoldi algorithm operates only on the non-zero elements of $H_I$. All of
the resulting CPU-intensive dense matrix algebra of this Arn-Matrix-Vector-Multiply routine was cast into tuned BLAS library routines. The Arnoldi algorithm transforms $H_j$ into the Krylov subspace Hamiltonian, $h$, which is then exponentiated. Two optional routines for exponentiation were coded, one making use of LAPACK subroutines for diagonalization (and QR-factorization) and the other making use of a public domain Padé subroutine [33].

**Test phase:**

The algorithm was tested rigorously by calculating the two-photon ionization of Ne. The decay in the population of the ground state of Ne was calculated during its interaction with a 6-cycle laser pulse with frequencies in the XUV range. Excellent agreement was found when results were compared to those calculated using a separate $n$-order Taylor Propagator.

### 2.7 Interfacing (Integration) of TD-RA and TD-OUTER: the RMT program

**Definition of tasks:**

The construction of the RMT program requires the implementation of three tasks:

**A. Evaluation of the inhomogeneous time-dependent surface terms**

Time-propagation of the many-electron wave function in region I can only begin once higher-order time-derivatives of the wave function in region II (at $r = b$) are evaluated.

**B. Explicit evaluation of the wave function within region I**

Time-propagation of the effective single-electron wave function in region II can only begin once the wave function on FD points within region I are evaluated.

**C. Extension of the Arnoldi algorithm to include the inhomogeneous time-dependent surface terms**

The Arnoldi algorithm in region I needs to be significantly extended in order to allow for propagation of the inhomogeneous surface terms in eq.(6).

**Implementation phase:**

**A. Evaluation of the inhomogeneous time-dependent surface terms**

In order to construct the $U_j$ vectors in eq.(8) the radial derivatives of the $F_p$ functions at $r = b$ need to be evaluated. Only a small amount of extra coding in TD-OUTER was needed to compute these derivatives using a 5-point FD rule.

**B. Explicit evaluation of the wave function within region I**
As already pointed out in section 2.4, the 5-point FD rule when applied to the \( F_p \) functions at the first grid point, \( i = i_b \), requires information on the value of the \( F_p \) functions at points \( i = i_b - 1 \) and \( i = i_b - 2 \) which lie inside the region I boundary.

In order to construct the wave function in region I at these two FD points, the eigenvectors associated with each \( R \)-matrix eigenstate are required. This data is usually not written to file by the RMATRX2 suite of programs, so slight modifications were required to write all eigenvectors to a file named splinewaves. This file is then read by the TD-RA program and used in the construction of the FD wave function within region I. The only other data needed to construct the \( F_p \) inside the boundary, \( b \), are the time-dependent coefficients \( C_k \) and the B-spline basis functions. The same subroutines employed to generate the B-spline functions in the RMATRX2 codes were used in TD-RA. At each time-step, the evaluation of the \( F_p \) functions at grid points within region I amounts to a single call to a matrix-vector multiply routine.

**Test phase:**

The TD-RA code at this stage was again tested in the absence of region II. The wave function within region I was evaluated on equidistant grid points that spanned the whole of region I, so that the wave function could be analyzed and compared to results produced by an independent basis-set approach.

**C. Extension of the Arnoldi algorithm to include the inhomogeneous time-dependent surface terms**

For the calculation of the second term on the right-hand-side of eq.(8) an Arnoldi-based method was implemented to calculate the action of the \( \phi_j(-i\delta tH_I) \) functions on the \( U_j(t) \) vectors passed from TD-OUTER. The method is similar to that used to calculate the action of \( e^{-i\delta tH_I} \) on \( C(t) \):

1. The method starts by approximating the vector \( \phi_j(-i\delta tH_I)U_j \) in a Krylov subspace \( K_{n+1,j} \) spanned by the vectors \( U_j, H_jU_j, ..., H^n_IU_j \).
2. Following the standard Arnoldi method the Gram-Schmidt procedure is applied to obtain an orthonormal set of vectors that span \( K_{n+1,j} \) which are written as \( Q_0,j, Q_1,j, ..., Q_n,j \) where \( Q_0,j = U_j/|U_j| \).
3. Let \( Q_j \) denote the matrix formed by the \( n + 1 \) column vectors \( (Q_0,j, Q_1,j, ..., Q_n,j) \). Then the \( n \)-by-\( n \) matrix \( h_j = Q_j^\dagger H_I Q_j \) is the projection of the action of \( H_I \) on the Krylov subspace \( K_{n+1,j} \) expressed in terms of the basis \( \{Q_0,j, ..., Q_n,j\} \).
4. The vector \( \phi_j(-i\delta tH_I)U_j \) is now approximated by \( \phi_j(-i\delta tQ_j Q_j^\dagger)U_j \). Since \( Q_j^\dagger Q_j = I \) and \( Q_j^\dagger U_j = U_j, \phi_j(-i\delta tQ_j Q_j^\dagger)U_j = Q_j \phi_j(-i\delta tH_I)Q_j^\dagger U_j \).
The advantage of this formulation is that since the matrix $h_j$ has size order $n$ (where $n$ is typically 12-14) the evaluation of $\phi_j(-i\delta t h_j)$ is much cheaper than that of $\phi_j(-i\delta t H_I)$.

**D. Evaluation of the $\phi$ functions arising in the set of inhomogeneous equations**

In recent years, there has been considerable effort made at developing efficient and accurate methods for computing the $\phi_j(z)$ functions appearing in eqs.(8) and (2.5)[31]. In the RMT program, the calculation of the $\phi_j(z)$ functions is tackled by following an idea set out in [34] and generalised in [33] whereby the reduced matrix $h_j$ is augmented to form a larger matrix given by

$$
\hat{h}_j = \begin{bmatrix}
h_j & e_1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
$$

(11)

where $e_1$ is the first vector in the standard basis and the identity matrix, $I$, has $j$-1 rows. Following the augmentation of $h_j$, the top $n$ entries of the last column of $e^{-i\delta t \hat{h}_j}$ yield the vector $\delta t \phi_j(-i\delta t h_j)e_1$. The exponentiation is computed using a freely available Padé routine for general matrices, combined with scaling and squaring [33].

The procedure outlined above is repeated for each of the $\phi_j(-i\delta t H_I)U_j$ terms in the summation on the right-hand-side of eq.(8). Summing these $n_j$ terms and adding to the first term on the right-hand-side of eq.(8) provides a means of propagating the wave function in region I forward one step in time.

**Test phase for tasks C and D:**

The accuracy of the adapted Arnoldi method for calculating the action of the $\phi_j(-i\delta t H_I)$ functions on the $U_j(t)$ vectors was verified by mocking up $U_j(t)$ vectors and comparing results to those calculated using direct methods which include explicit inversion of $H_I$. Excellent agreement was found between the two independent methods.

By this stage the single core version of the RMT program was fully constructed. The many-electron wave function is known at time $t + \delta t$ throughout regions I and II and further propagation in time can progress by repeating, for successive time steps $\delta t$, the procedures described in the previous sections.

### 2.8 Demonstration results from the single core RMT code

Once the single core version of the RMT program was fully constructed, its accuracy was verified by using it to investigate electron wavepackets ejected from Ne (which has 10 electrons) irradiated
by an XUV laser pulse. All calculations at this stage were performed on local machines at QUB. Results were compared to those calculated using the TD-RA program in which there is no division of position space occurring. The parameters used for these first RMT test calculations can be found in the ‘Ne set A’ list in the appendix of the report. The TD-RA calculations used the same parameters except that, because there is no region II included, the boundary of region I was increased to 100 a.u. and the number of B-splines per angular momentum, l, increased to 100. The partial continuum wave functions of Ne calculated after the end of the laser pulse using the RMT method were compared to those calculated using the TD-RA method. The same test calculations were carried out using ‘Ne set B’ as input so that the continuum wave functions coupled to an excited residual ion state could be compared. This comparison of time-dependent wave functions obtained using the mixed basis/finite-difference RMT method with those obtained using a pure basis set method represents one of the most stringent tests of accuracy for the new RMT method. The excellent agreement between the results of the two independent methods helps verify the accuracy and reliability of the RMT program.

As a second means of demonstrating the accuracy of the RMT method, single-electron ionization rates were calculated for He and Ne irradiated by a laser pulse with a central wavelength of 248 nm (corresponding to the fundamental wavelength of the KrF laser). These rates were compared with rates obtained using the time-independent R-matrix Floquet (RMF) method and, in the case of He, also with those obtained using the HELIUM code. For the He calculations the parameter set ‘He’ was used and for the Ne calculations ‘Ne set A’ was again used. These parameter sets can be found in the appendix. Table 1 shows a comparison of He single-electron ionization rates for three intensities. Away from resonances, the ionization rates calculated by RMT agree well (to within 10%) with those calculated by HELIUM [35] and by the RMF method [36]. Figure 3 shows the comparison of Ne single-electron ionization rates. Agreement between the two sets of results is very good, typically within 10% of each other away from resonance.

### Table 1: Single-ionisation rates of helium given in atomic units obtained by RMT, by HELIUM and by the three-state approximation in the R-matrix Floquet (RMF) approach at a laser wavelength of 248 nm for given values of the peak laser intensity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intensity (10^{14} W/cm^2)</th>
<th>RMT</th>
<th>HELIUM</th>
<th>RMF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.18 × 10^{-8}</td>
<td>1.25 × 10^{-8}</td>
<td>1.13 × 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.27 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.21 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.23 × 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.23 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.15 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.02 × 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Parallelization of the RMT code

This section describes the implementation of a parallel RMT program employing MPI together with tuned BLAS and LAPACK library routines. In the parallel RMT program processes are assigned to two functional groups: 1) the TD-RA group of processes calculates the wave function in region I at each time-step, and 2) the TD-OUTER group of processes calculates the wave function in region II at each time-step. Two-way exchange of data between the two groups occurs via synchronous point-to-point communication between the master cores in each of the groups. The approach scales well provided computational load is adequately balanced across the two groups. Results are stored to disk periodically for analysis and to provide a restart procedure.

3.1 Implementation of an MPI version of the RMT code (region II)

The TD-OUTER group is parallelized by assigning a number of grid points to each core in the group, so that the first core handles the first \( X_{\text{Last}} \) grid points, the second core the next \( X_{\text{Last}} \) grid points and so on. Each compute core in the TD-OUTER group is thus responsible for a wavefunction array of dimension \( n_p \times X_{\text{Last}} \) where \( n_p \) is the number of channels retained in the calculation.

Because the derivative operators in \( H_{ij} \) have been replaced with 5-point FD operators, communication between cores in calculating \( H_{ij}F \) is limited to nearest neighbour communication only.
3 P ARALLELIZATION OF THE RMT CODE

3.2 Implementation of an MPI version of the RMT code (region I)

Parallelization within the TD-RA group has focused on the two most CPU-intensive routines of the serial RMT code. These are the Arn-Matrix-Vector-Multiply subroutine of the inhomogeneous Arnoldi algorithm and the WV-Matrix-Vector-Multiply subroutine called during the evaluation of the wave function on the FD points within region I.

Parallel-Arn-Matrix-Vector-Multiply

Unlike the FD Hamiltonian in region II, the elements of the block-tridiagonal Hamiltonian matrix, \( H_I \), in region I are not calculated on the fly which means that options for parallelizing the matrix-vector kernel of the inhomogeneous Arnoldi algorithm are more restrictive. Instead all matrix elements are read from files written to disk by RMATRX2. At start-up, the master core in the TD-RA functional group is the only core that reads the files written by RMATRX2. Once all the \( H_I \) matrix elements have been read, the strategy used here is to map the block rows, along with the corresponding segment of the \( U_j \) vectors being multiplied into, onto a group of cores that are from here on referred to as Block Master Cores (BMCs). This already places constraints on the minimum number of cores needed to run the program as at least one core should be available for each \( LS\pi \) symmetry block. Once distributed, two dense dipole matrix blocks of size \( mnp_1\text{sym}_i \times mnp_1\text{sym}_j \) and a 1D array of eigenvalues of length \( mnp_1\text{sym}_i \) sit on each of the BMCs. At this coarse level of distribution, the Parallel-Arn-Parallel-Matrix-Vector subroutine can already be implemented using BLAS library routines. Load-balancing within the TD-RA group is accomplished by ensuring that each block row is assigned the same number of cores and by forcing \( mnp_1\text{sym}_i = mnp_1\text{sym}_j \) by padding the symmetry blocks with zeros (for most input data sets \( mnp_1\text{sym}_i \approx mnp_1\text{sym}_j \)). Increasing the number of cores within the TD-RA group results in multiple cores being assigned to each block row of \( H_I \). Due to the off-diagonal block structure of \( H_I \) two-way exchange of arrays of length \( mnp_1\text{sym}_i \) occurs between each BMC during each call to Parallel-Arn-Matrix-Vector-Multiply.

Parallel-WV-Matrix-Vector-Multiply

At start-up the eigenvectors, \( V_k \), associated with each eigenstate, \( k \), of \( H_I \) are distributed to the BMCs. As soon as the compute cores in the TD-RA group evaluate their updated segment of \( C_k(t + \delta t) \), an MPI GATHERV is called so that the \( C_k(t + \delta t) \) are collected onto the BMCs. The BMCs subsequently call the Parallel-WV-Matrix-Vector-Multiply subroutine to calculate the \( F_p \) value required at each FD point within region I. The wave function values are then gathered onto the master core in the TD-RA group to be sent to the master core in the TD-OUTER group.
3.3 MPI communication between TD-RA and TD-OUTER

During propagation, communication between TD-RA and TD-OUTER occurs between the master core in TD-RA (MCR1) and the master core in TD-OUTER (MCR2). The communication is based on synchronous MPI SEND/RECV calls. The communication calls occur in the following sequence:

1. Communication from TD-RA to TD-OUTER
   At the start of each time-step, MCR1 sends to MCR2 an array, $F_{in}$, containing the radial wave function at time $t$ at FD points within the $R$-matrix boundary. Upon MCR2 receiving this array, propagation over one time-step in TD-OUTER can proceed. Therefore, within a single time-step one SEND/RECV call is made between MCR1 and MCR2 for communication from TD-RA to TD-OUTER.

2. Communication from TD-OUTER to TD-RA
   Within a single time-step, MCR2 calculates a $U_j$ vector for each order $j$, where $0 < j \leq j_{max}$. Once MCR2 has finished calculating a given $U_j$ vector, it sends the vector to MCR1. Therefore, the number of SEND/RECV calls made between MCR2 and MCR1 within a single time-step for communication from TD-OUTER to TD-RA is $j_{max}$ (typically $j_{max} = 12-14$). Upon MCR1 receiving a $U_j$ vector, it can proceed with calculating the corresponding $f_j$ vector. Once all of the $f_j$ vectors have been calculated, the $C$ coefficients at $t + \delta t$ can be calculated.

The above sequence of calls is repeated for each time-step of the propagation.

Load-balance between TD-RA and TD-OUTER

For efficient scaling of the RMT code, computational load needs to be well balanced across the two functional groups TD-RA and TD-OUTER.

To minimize idle time in TD-RA, MCR2 needs to send the $U_j$ vectors to MCR1 in synchronization with the calculation of the $f_j$ vectors – As soon as $f_{j-1}$ is calculated, MCR1 is waiting to receive $U_j$ from MCR2 in order to proceed with the calculation of $f_j$.

For a given problem size, there are only two adjustable parameters in the RMT code that can influence load balance between the TD-RA and TD-OUTER groups. The first is the number of cores assigned to the TDRA group, $n_{c_{tdra}}$. The second is the number of FD points allocated to each core in TD-OUTER, $X_{Last}$. If the values of $n_{c_{tdra}}$ and $X_{Last}$ are chosen too large the amount of time spent idle on each core within the TD-RA functional group will increase. If $n_{c_{tdra}}$ or $X_{Last}$ are chosen too small the amount of idle time within the TD-OUTER functional group will increase. The optimal values for $n_{c_{tdra}}$ and $X_{Last}$ can be found by using timing routines in TD-RA and TD-OUTER along with using the CRAYPAT profiling tool.
4 Porting RMT to HECToR

The RMT code was ported to HECToR in January 2011. An RMATRIX2 suite of codes was also ported to HECToR at the same time so that relevant ‘starter’ data could be generated. The results shown here were produced by compiling the RMT code with the PGI Fortran 90 compiler. The CRAYPAT profiler was used to analyze the initial performance of the RMT code on HECToR.

4.1 Analysis of performance of RMT on the XT6

Comparison with single core version of RMT:

The first runs of the parallel RMT code used the ‘Ne set A’ and ‘Ne set B’ parameter lists as input. Results were shown to be in excellent agreement with the results produced employing the serial version of the RMT code, run both on the QUB machines and a single core of the XT6. The output of the parallel RMT code was found to agree with the output of the serial version of the code to the level of machine precision.

**Scalability:**

![Image of graph showing strong scaling and load balance analysis]

**Figure 4:** Strong scaling and load balance analysis of the RMT code on the HECToR Cray XT6.

To test the scalability of the RMT code, several test runs were performed on various numbers of cores on the HECToR XT6. The maximum number of cores allocated to the functional group, TD-OUTER, during this initial test phase was 384. The maximum number of cores allocated to the functional group, TD-RA, was 48, giving 432 as the maximum total number of cores allocated to a run of the
RMT code. All of the scaling tests were carried out using the 'Ne set C' parameter list in the appendix which to date represents the largest problem size used as input to the RMT program. With this set of parameters, there are 17 residual ion states (resulting in 687 coupled channels) included in the calculation with a $H_I$ dimension of $\sim 35000 \times 35000$.

A. Weak scaling

Table 2 shows how the RMT code scales with increasing number of cores allocated to the TD-OUTER functional group. The value of $X_{Last}$ is held fixed, so that an increase in the number of cores results in a linear increase in the volume of integration in region II. The time per iteration is shown for two values of $X_{Last}$, where increasing $X_{Last}$ by a factor of $\sim 4$ from 150 to 600 results in a corresponding factor of 4 increase in the time taken per iteration. For both sets of calculations, 24 cores were allocated to the TD-RA functional group. It can be clearly seen that weak scaling with up to 384 cores in the TD-OUTER group is good on the XT6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of TD-OUTER cores</th>
<th>Total no. of grid points</th>
<th>Time per iteration (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$24 \times X_{Last}$</td>
<td>$10.90$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$48 \times X_{Last}$</td>
<td>$10.98$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$96 \times X_{Last}$</td>
<td>$10.93$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$192 \times X_{Last}$</td>
<td>$10.92$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$384 \times X_{Last}$</td>
<td>$10.93$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Weak scaling of the RMT code on the XT6. The value of $X_{Last}$ on each core is held fixed. The number of cores allocated to the TD-RA functional group is 24.

B. Strong scaling

Figure 4 shows how the RMT code scales with increasing number of cores allocated to the TD-OUTER functional group for a fixed total number of grid points. The calculations were carried out using 'Ne set C' as an input parameter set, where $R$ is fixed at 7200 a.u. – with a FD grid spacing of 0.2 a.u., this fixes the total number of grid points to 36000. Figure 4 demonstrates that as the number of cores allocated to the TD-OUTER group is doubled, the number of grid points per core, ($X_{Last}$) is halved. The first scaling analysis was carried out with 24 cores assigned to the TD-RA group. In this case, with 384 cores assigned to the TD-OUTER group, speed-up is seen to diverge from ideal. This is because the time spent idle on the cores in the TD-OUTER group has increased, whilst there is little idle time within the TD-RA group. Once 48 cores are assigned to the TD-RA group, the amount of idle time in the TD-OUTER group decreases and speed-up is seen to return to ideal.
Load-balancing:

By carrying out a load-balancing analysis using timing routines and CRAYPAT, an optimum value of $X_{Last}$ can be found for a given number of cores allocated to the TD-RA functional group. Using ‘Ne set B’ input data, the optimal value of $X_{Last}$ for 24 (48) cores allocated to TD-RA was found to be 144 (89).

4.2 First results produced by RMT on HECToR

![Figure 5: 2D Momentum distributions of electrons ejected from Ne irradiated by an attosecond XUV pulse in the presence of a time-delayed Ti:Sapphire 800 nm laser pulse. The XUV field is at its peak in (a) at 1.25 cycles into the IR field, and in (b) at 1.75 cycles into the IR field.](image)

In order to demonstrate the capability of the RMT code, calculations were carried out to study the interaction of Ne with an XUV attosecond light pulse in the presence of a 800 nm Ti:Sapphire laser field. Such an interaction has recently been studied experimentally where complex many-electron effects within Ne are suspected to be the reason for an unexpected time delay between the escape of a 2p electron and a 2s electron. Using “attosecond streaking” methods, the experiment has measured this time delay to be 21 attoseconds [7]. So far, no theoretical method has been able to provide a value for the time-delay that even comes close to matching the experimental value. Initial calculations of the time delay demonstrate that such precise phase-sensitive information can be calculated with the new RMT program.

In the RMT calculation the 800nm field is a 3 cycle pulse of peak intensity $10^{13}$ W/cm$^2$. The 91 eV XUV pulse is a 14 cycle pulse also of peak intensity $10^{13}$ W/cm$^2$. Figure 5 shows the 2D momentum distributions of ejected electron wave-packets from Ne for two different delays between the
XUV and the IR fields. The XUV field is at its peak in figure 5(a) at 1.25 cycles into the IR field, and in figure 5(b) at 1.75 cycles into the IR field. In (a) the IR field imparts, to the ionizing electron wavepacket, positive momentum in the z-direction, while in (b) it imparts a negative momentum in the z-direction. Consequently the $k_z$ momentum distribution is shifted upwards in (a) and downwards in (b) as compared to the distribution symmetric about the $k_z=0$ axis obtained when there is no IR field present.

Both (a) and (b) figures show contributions to the momentum distribution from electrons liberated from the 2p subshell (outer circle) and from the 2s subshell (inner circle). It is worth noting that the due to the RMT code’s ability to explicitly include multiple residual ion states in the calculation, it is one of the few methods worldwide that is capable of describing the momentum distributions of the ejected 2p and 2s electrons in a single calculation. By performing further calculations with various delays between the fields it is observed that the inner and outer circles oscillate between their positions in (a) and (b). Analysis of these oscillations reveals an ultrashort time delay in photoemission from the two valence states (2p and 2s). It is the intention of the authors to present full details of these exciting results at the XXVII International Conference on Photonic Electronic and Atomic Collisions (ICPEAC) 2011 conference and subsequently to submit results for publication in a peer reviewed journal.
5 Conclusion and discussion

This report has described the construction of a powerful new *ab initio* RMT code that has the unique capability to accurately and efficiently describe the single ionization response of a general many-electron atom exposed to intense laser light pulses. The construction of the RMT code has been made possible by implementing the numerical methods and algorithms underlying two well-established *ab initio* codes, namely HELIUM and the RMATRIX2 suite of codes. The RMT code has immense flexibility since it can not only describe the response of a general many-electron atom to intense laser light fields with wavelengths ranging throughout the spectrum, from the extreme ultraviolet to the infrared, but also to both short- and long-duration pulses of such light.

The construction of the RMT code constitutes a major algorithmic advance that represents a vital first step in the development of a method that is capable of accurately describing the single ionization response of a many-electron molecule to intense laser light and later, the double-electron ionization response of a many-electron atom exposed to such light.

The accuracy of the new code has been verified by using it to calculate single ionization rates for He and Ne irradiated by a KrF laser pulse. In the limit of many-cycle laser pulses, a regime in which R-matrix Floquet (RMF) theory is valid, results from the RMT code and RMF code have shown excellent agreement. In the case of He, RMT code results were also shown to be in excellent agreement with those produced by the HELIUM code.

A description of the parallelization of the RMT code and its porting to the HECToR Cray XT6 has been provided. Running the parallel RMT code on the XT6 machine has already revealed the code’s potential to accurately describe the effects of highly complex correlated electron dynamics on the ultrafast photoemission of electrons from many-electron atoms when exposed to a combination of XUV and 800 nm laser light.

The report also provides a performance and load-balancing analysis of the new code on the XT6. The RMT code has been shown to scale well, provided computational load is balanced between the TD-RA and TD-OUTER functional groups working on region I and region II of the electronic position space.

However, modifications to the code need to be made in order to maximize performance on the current generation of high-end computing machines such as the HECToR Cray XT6, typically containing many thousands of multi-core processors. Improvements to parallel scaling performance, single node efficiency and memory usage will enable very large laser-atom and laser-ion calculations to be addressed on these machines. Such large-scale calculations are essential to complement the sophisticated laboratory-based experiments being carried out around the world in the field of Attosec-
ond Science. In particular, effective load balancing between the TD-RA and TD-OUTER functional groups will be paramount to the future use of the RMT method in the investigations of the double ionization of atoms to intense laser fields. The optimization of the RMT code for use on HECToR will be the focus of an upcoming 6-month dCSE project.
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## Appendix

### Table 3: Input parameters for RMT code. The He orbitals and Configuration Interaction (CI) basis were taken from [36]. The Ne orbitals and CI basis were taken from [37].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input parameters</th>
<th>Atomic system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>He</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>region I</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of B-splines per ( l )</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius, ( b ) (a.u)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of ionic states</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of total angular momentum (L)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resulting no. of channels</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resulting Hamiltonian order</td>
<td>~900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>region II</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R ) (a.u.)</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD grid spacing (a.u.)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laser pulse</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (nm)</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of cycles</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta t ) (a.u.)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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